

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/857,106	08/27/2001	Cecile Vacher	1788/00028	9255	
75	590 10/31/2002				
Morris Liss Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz PO Box 19088			EXAMINER		
			PONOMARENKO, NICHOLAS		
Washington, Do	C 20036-0088	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834		
			DATE MAILED: 10/31/2002	DATE MAILED: 10/31/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	_		M.		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	_	09/857,106	VACHER, CECILE		
· ·	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Nicholas Ponomarenko	2834		
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address		
Period fo					
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the m d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12 October 2001 .			
2a)□	•	This action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
-	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the applicat				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.			
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.				
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and its property are subject to restrict to restr	nd/or election requirement.			
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.			
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 August 2001</u> is/a	are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objec	ted to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection				
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on _		lisapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.			
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.440(.)(1)(0)		
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)	⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docur				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docur				
* 9	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor				
	a) The translation of the foreign languag				
15)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor				
Attachmei	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)		
2) 🗌 Noti	ce of References Cited (P10-692) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-94 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449) Paper N	8) 5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to because Figure 1 of the blank boxes of Figure 1 need letter labels inserted to clarify what element is actually shown. Applicant(s) are reminded that drawings should be easily understood by one of ordinary skill in the art. See MPEP 608.02. Correction is required.
- 2. Applicant(s) are required to submit a proposed drawing correction in response to this Office Action. Any proposal by the applicant(s) for amendment of the drawings to correct defects must consist of two parts:
 - a) A separate letter to the Draftsman in accordance with MPEP § 608.02(r), and
- b) A print or pen-and-ink sketch showing changes in **red ink** in accordance with MPEP § 608.02(v).

IMPORTANT NOTE: The filing of formal drawings to correct the noted defect may be deferred until the application is allowed by the examiner, but the print or penand-ink sketch with proposed corrections shown in red ink is required in response to this Office Action, and **may not be deferred**.

Specification

- 3. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.
- 4. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

Art Unit: 2834

(a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.

- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a).

"Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to

Art Unit: 2834

make and/or use the invention. Provided disclosure is so broad that reads on the general concepts of the hybrid vehicle controls of the prior art of records.

- 7. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. Operational schemes and structural details of the torque control system are critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).
- 8. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the best mode contemplated by the inventor has not been disclosed. Evidence of concealment of the best mode is based upon applicant(s) claiming language that is so broad that do not provide for one of ordinary skill the required understanding of what is really claimed, beside the known and/or general concepts.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. As far as they can be understood, claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 .S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Farrall (US 5,656,921) or Hara et al. (US 5,713,814).

Art Unit: 2834

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant(s) disclosure.
- 12. When the claims are amended, applicant(s) should state in detail where in the original disclosure or in the drawings the amended features find support. **No new matter may be introduced**.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Nicholas Ponomarenko** whose telephone number is **(703) 308-1776**.
- 14. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, Mon. Fri., 8 AM 5:30 PM

Phone: (703) 308-0956 Fax: (703) 305-3431

np

October 29, 2002

Nicholas Ponomarenko Primary Examiner

Technology Center 2800